

State Legislative Advocacy Services Request for Qualifications (RFQ)

October 18, 2013

Summary of Questions and Answers

Q1 I am evaluating the above-mentioned RFP, and noticed there is no "Scope of Work" outline. Can you please provide more information about the project?

A1 Please see Section IV. Background, Section V. Scope of Work and Budget, and Appendix A, Final 2013 Legislative Program of the RFQ for information on the project.

Q2 There are no specific requirements in the RFP, only a vague mention of "similar experience in the last three years." Similar to what?

A2 Please see Section VI. Form of Statement of Qualifications (SOQ) and Appendix B, Summary of Required Experience and Expertise for detailed information on the type of qualifications and experience required.

Q3 I would like to know if there is an incumbent, who has been performing this task for the last three years. If so, what is their performance evaluation?

A3 The incumbent is Carter, Wetch & Associates, Inc. of Sacramento, CA. MTC does not conduct performance evaluations of its Consultants/Contractors. However, MTC does require its Consultants/Contractors to perform the task(s) as outlined in the Scope of Work and supply deliverables based on the terms of their agreements.

Q4 There may be occasions where MTC and one of my other clients may not totally agree on the path going forward, but with that said, I have not been confronted with a situation in Sacramento where my other clients or MTC has taken different policy positions and therefore been in conflict. I don't know if you would see down the road where maybe a conflict would arise.

A4 Please see Section XI. Organization Conflicts of Interest of the RFQ.