

May 16, 2013

**Request for Qualifications (RFQ)
For
Program for Arterial System Synchronization (PASS)
Dated May 3, 2013**

**Questions & Answers:
From Proposers' Conference
on May 10, 2013
and Received as Clarifications and Exceptions
on May 13, 2013**

- Q1: The third bullet of Section V, Form of SOQ, Subarticle D, of the RFQ reads “Staff resumes highlighting their experience and skills relevant to the services requested in this RFQ. (Not to exceed 1 page for each resume.)” Can proposers submit detailed resumes in the Appendix?
- A1 Yes, proposers may include detailed resumes in the Appendix.
- Q2 Is the two (2) page limit for a firm’s approach to signal timing with respect to technical and institutional challenges in the Bay Area per project or cumulative total?
- A2 The two (2) page limit is a cumulative total.
- Q3 Are the insurance requirements for this PASS RFQ the same as in the past PASS RFQ?
- A3 Please carefully read the insurance requirements listed in RFQ *Appendix C-1, Insurance Requirements*, page 77, and in the RFQ Addenda #1, as the insurance requirements have changed since the last PASS RFQ.
- Q4 Can you please describe how MTC will consider a firm’s response under RFQ Section V, **FORM OF STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS**, subsection G. Approach to Signal Timing Challenges?
- A4 MTC is looking for firms and project managers who have experience with local agencies, who successfully described challenges they have faced and how those challenges have been overcome with respect to any of the services listed in RFQ, *Appendix A, Scope of Work, Schedule, and Budget*.
- Q5 Regarding peak counts and additional counts, are additional counts included in the budget, or do they generate additional compensation?
- A5 Additional counts are subject to MTC approval and additional compensation. Please see RFQ at *Appendix A, Scope of Work, Schedule, and Budget*, subsection 2.1.4, page 15.

- Q6 Please clarify that MTC's intention with regard to Appendix C, MTC Standard Consultant Agreement, Attachment E, Insurance and Financial Security (Bond), Article 1.A.4. is to make sure there is coverage for Additional Insured versus Named Insured claims. Our umbrella policy provides for Separation of Insureds clause with the exception of Bodily Injury, Property Damage, or Personal Injury and Advertising Injury to a NAMED INSURED that is caused by any other NAMED INSURED. This is standard within the industry and a copy of the limited exclusionary endorsement can be provided upon request.
- A6 The reason for this requirement is to ensure that coverage is truly "follow-form," with all endorsements, and the coverage as stipulated for the additional insureds. MTC requires that coverage is primary and non-contributory for MTC as additional insured on this contract, and MTC wants to be sure that this coverage will also be granted in the excess/umbrella policy or policies.